State of Florida

Commissioners: SUSAN F. CLARK, CHAIRMAN J. TERRY DEASON JULIA L. JOHNSON DIANE K. KIESLING JOE GARCIA



General Counsel ROBERT D. VANDIVER (904) 413-6248

Public Service Commission

August 23, 1996

BY FEDERAL EXPRESS

Mr. William F. Caton Acting Secretary Federal Communications Commission 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554 RECEIVED

AUG 2.6 1996

FCC MAIL ROOM

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Re:

CC Docket No. 96-146 - Policies and Rules Governing Interstate Pay-Per-Call and Other Information Services Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Dear Mr. Caton:

Enclosed are the original and ten copies of the Florida Public Service Commission's comments in the above docket. Please date-stamp one copy and return it in the enclosed self-addressed stamped envelope. We are also forwarding a hard copy, plus diskette, of our comments to Mary Romano of the Common Carrier Bureau.

Sincerely,

Cynthia B. Miller

Associate General Counsel

The Si hille

CBM/jb Enclosure

cc:

International Transcription Service

2100 M Street, NW

Suite 140

Washington, D.C. 20037

Brad Ramsay
NARUC
1102 Interstate Commerce Commission Building
Constitution Avenue & 12th Street NW
Washington, D.C. 20042

No. of Copies rec'd 049 List ABCDE

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of:

Policies and Rules Governing Interstate Pay-Per-Call and Other Information Services Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 CC Docket No. 96-146

RECENTED

FCC MAL ROCK

COMMENTS OF THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

On July 11, 1996, the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) issued an Order and Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (NPRM) requesting comments on its proposed rule changes to implement the requirements of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act) and on whether additional requirements are needed to deter entities seeking to circumvent the consumer safeguards incorporated in the federal payper-call regulations. The Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) is pleased to offer comments on these issues. We have organized our comments to follow, as closely as possible, the structure and paragraph numbering of the NPRM.

The FPSC supports the FCC's placement of new requirements on pay-per-call and other providers of information services by amending Part 64 to codify the relevant provisions of the 1996 Act. We also applaud the FCC's foresight in proposing additional rule changes in an effort to protect consumers from pay-per-call providers and carriers that may seek to evade the new provisions of Part 64. However, the FPSC is concerned that the proposed

amendments may still allow opportunities for unscrupulous providers to abuse the local exchange company (LEC) billing system by billing unauthorized charges to telephone subscribers. For this reason, the FPSC believes that implementation of a LEC billing block option, such as the one proposed in our Petition to Initiate Rulemaking submitted to the FCC on December 7, 1995, is needed to provide additional protection to consumers from unauthorized charges.

II. BACKGROUND

The number of consumers that have contacted the FPSC regarding pay-per-call charges indicates that there may have been a slight decrease in complaints as a result of voluntary measures taken by some local exchange companies (LECs). However, this decrease was offset by complaints resulting from information providers (IPs) and common carriers exploiting the tariffed services exemption contained in the Telephone Disclosure and Dispute Resolution Act (TDDRA). In 1995, over 800 consumers contacted the FPSC regarding pay-per-call services and during the first seven months of 1996 almost 400 consumers contacted the FPSC on this issue. (¶ 9)

A. Requirements of Amended Section 228

1. "Billing for 800 Calls" -- 47 U.S.C. § 228(c)(7)

The amended provision requires a common carrier to prohibit, by tariff or contract, an IP from billing the calling party for information conveyed during the call unless the IP first obtains a written agreement from the calling party or the calling party is charged for the information by means of a credit, prepaid, debit, charge, or calling card. The written agreement may also include an agreement transmitted through an electronic medium. The FPSC is concerned that some IPs may resort to falsification of such agreements in order to bill consumers.

We base this concern upon examples of apparent forgeries that we have uncovered during investigations of unauthorized changes in long distance carriers (slamming). Many of the consumers who have filed complaints with the FPSC, have alleged that a forgery of their signature on the letter of authorization (LOA) resulted in their long distance carrier being changed without their authorization (Attachment A). While it may not be possible to completely protect consumers from fraud, the FPSC believes that the billing block option proposed in our Petition to Initiate Rulemaking offers an effective method for consumers to protect

COMMENTS OF THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CC DOCKET NO. 96-146

themselves from unauthorized pay-per-call charges being placed on their LEC telephone bill. (\P 16)

2. "Restrictions on the Use of Toll-Free Numbers" -- 47 C.F.R. § 64.1504

provision which codifies the The amended 1996 Telecommunications Act's definition of a calling card, Section 64.1501(c), allows ". . . the individual to be charged by means of a phone bill for charges incurred independent of where the call The FPSC is concerned that this language will originates." continue to allow individuals to bill calls to telephone numbers for which they are not the subscriber of record. We believe that the only person who should be able to obtain a calling card that allows the billing of information service calls to a telephone number should be the LEC customer who is the subscriber of record responsible for that telephone number. We continue to receive complaints from subscribers who have been billed for calls either placed from or billed to their telephone number but not authorized by them (Attachment B). (¶ 44)

The FCC asked for comment on its tentative conclusion that a carrier's billing of calls dialed to an 800 or other toll-free number on the basis of automatic number identification (ANI) is a

violation of Section 228(c)(7)(A) of the Telecommunications Act unless the call involves use of telecommunications devices for the It is our opinion that carriers are violating Section deaf. 228(c)(7)(A) of the Telecommunications Act when they use ANI as a basis for billing calls placed to information services accessed through toll-free numbers. We believe that using originating ANI to bill calls placed to toll-free numbers encourages toll fraud since the individual making the call may not be the telephone subscriber. Although regular toll calls may be billed to the originating ANI regardless of whether the subscriber is the person who places the call, we believe that calls to information service providers should be treated differently. We believe that a LEC proprietary card billing block option, as outlined in our Petition to Initiate Rulemaking, is needed to protect subscribers from being charged on LEC bills for unauthorized calls to information services placed from their telephone. (¶ 45)

In conclusion, the FPSC believes the requirements for presubscription agreements and billing measures contained in the FCC's Order and NPRM will not be sufficient to prevent the pay-per-call industry from continuing to engage in deceptive billing practices. Therefore, it is our opinion that a LEC proprietary card billing block option, as outlined in our Petition to Initiate

COMMENTS OF THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CC DOCKET NO. 96-146

Rulemaking, is an additional measure needed to deter entities seeking to circumvent the consumer safeguards incorporated in the federal pay-per-call regulations.

Respectfully submitted,

CYNTHIA B. MILLER Senior Attorney

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

DATED: August $\frac{23}{}$, 1996

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION DIVISION OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

SPECIAL REQUEST REPORT

SLAMMING REPORT PRINTED ON: 08/02/96

UTILITY	COMPLAINANT	TYPE	RECVD J	T	CLOSE	STAFF	COUNTY	COMPLAINT !
ATAT COMMUNICATIONS OF THE	RUFF1N, JOHN	LS-13H	01/10/96	T	04/18/96	JFP	BRO	1047221
ATET COMMUNICATIONS OF THE	YARHI, DANIEL	LS-13H	01/26/96	T	03/11/96	KES	DADE	1078491
ATET COMMUNICATIONS OF THE	BORDEN, PAUL A.	LS-13H	01/29/96	Ŧ	02/29/96	RUM	DADE	1082011
ATET COMMUNICATIONS OF THE	ANGEL, GERMAN	LS-13H	02/06/96	T	02/29/96	SAS	DADE	11 0033 I
ATAT COMMUNICATIONS OF THE	YARBER, ROBERT	LS-13H	03/05/96	Ţ	05/07/96	SMM	DADE	1153111
ATAT COMMUNICATIONS OF THE	SOSA RODRIGUEZ, GILDA	LS-13H	03/06/96	T	06/28/96	RUM	DADE	1154521
AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF THE	DUNBAR, IRIS	LS-13H	03/28/96	L	06/28/96	JRD	DADE	11 9 3961
ATAT COMMUNICATIONS OF THE	WYNN, SANDRA	LS-13H	04/01/96	T	04/30/96	SCA	DADE	119786 I
ATAT COMMUNICATIONS OF THE	HOPKINS, ROBERTA	LS-13H	04/15/96	T	06/28/96	HBC	ORN	1219631
ATET COMMUNICATIONS OF THE	DANIELS, RON	LS-13H	04/17/96	T	06/28/96	SAS	DADE	1222481
ATET COMMUNICATIONS OF THE	CALA, LUIS	LS-13H	05/13/96	L	07/17/96	DBM	DADE	1251931
ATAT COMMUNICATIONS OF THE	RAKESTRAW, LEE (MRS)	LS-13H	06/11/96	L	06/28/96	JRD	DADE	128569 I
EXCEL TELECOMMUNICATIONS, I	CHRENKO, CHARLES	LS-13H	01/04/96	T	03/04/96	SAS	PAS	1040931
EXCEL TELECOMMUNICATIONS, I	BLINKEY, FRANCIS	LS-13H	01/18/96	T	05/23/96	IQIT	PIN	1061161
EXCEL TELECOMMUNICATIONS, I	SHOENWETTER, HENRY	LS-13H	04/04/96	T	04/25/96	SCA	BRO	1206311
EXCEL TELECOMMUNICATIONS, I	BIANCHINI, BIRDIE	LS-13H	04/23/96	1	05/15/96	RUN	OKE	1228931
HOME OWNERS LONG DISTANCE I	CALFANO, JASON & LINA	LS-13H	01/26/96	T	02/08/96	KES	HILL	1078221
LCI INTERNATIONAL TELECOM C	ZIMMERMAN, MARVIN	LS-13+	01/04/96	L	02/28/96	KES	SAR	104059 I
LCI INTERNATIONAL TELECOM C	BECK, ROYCE	LS-13H	05/23/96	L	06/28/96	KES	ORN	1264501
LCI INTERNATIONAL TELECOM C	POOLE, ETHAN	LS-13F	05/28/96	T	06/28/96	DBM	ORN	1268601
LCI INTERNATIONAL TELECOM C	BIGGS, DOUGLAS W.	LS-13H	06/17/96	T	07/12/96	SAS	ORN	1 29 372 I
LCI INTERNATIONAL TELECOM C	ALBRIGHT, HOWARD	LS-13	1 06/27/96	T	07/29/96	KES	ORM	1309771
MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP	C & J MULTISERVICES	L\$-13	1 01/19/96	L	05/23/96	JRD	DADE	1066241
MCI TELECONMUNICATIONS CORP	LEMNUS, BRIAN	LS-13	1 02/07/96	L	05/23/96	RWM	PIN	1101261
MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP	DELGRAD, LUCCE	LS-13	1 02/27/96	T	04/30/96	SCA	DADE	1134741
MCI TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP	CALABRA, VINCENT	LS-131	1 02/28/96	T	05/23/96	KINT	PLB	1141141
METRACOM CORPORATION	SOUTHERN MUSIC DISTRIBUTING CO.	LS-131	4 04/29/96	T	05/31/96	KES	ORN	1236671
MFS INTELENET OF FLORIDA, I	LEIVA, CARLOS	LS-13	02/29/96	L	05/23/96	KES	DADE	1143731

28 records printed

TOTAL FOR SWEEPSTAKES: 0
TOTAL FOR TELEMARKING: 0
TOTAL FOR NAME/ANI MATCH: 0
TOTAL FOR KEYPUNCH ENTRY: 0
TOTAL FOR RESELLER-UNCERTIFIED:

TOTAL FOR MISLEADING LOA: 0
TOTAL FOR 800 SERVICE CENTER: 0
TOTAL FOR FORGERY: 28
TOTAL FOR UMEXPLAINED ERROR: 0

TOTAL FOR OTHER: 0

	Page 1 of 5
The state of the s	7-11-96
FL. PUBLIC SERVICE Commission	
ATTN: RUTH MCHARZUE:	
	a maka ka cinama ya cinama cinama na ka
RUTH:	
I AM WRITING IN RESPONSE TO	OUR PHONE CONVERSATION
Today.	
AMERICAN TEL NET HAD A "900" CA	ALL THAT WAS BILLED TO
MY PHONE NUMBER. I WILL AGREE TH	MT IS MY PHONE NUMBER,
HOWEVER I HAVE NEVER MADE A "900"	CALL - INCLUDING THIS ONE.
UPON RECEIVING THEIR LETTER AN	IN BILL (SEE ATTACHED) I
CALLED AMERICAN TEL NET TODAY. THEIR	REP., ANTHONY GARCIA,
EXPLAINED THAT THEIR VOICE CAPTURE P	COCESS HAD NO VOICE
REGARDING; BUT I WAS STILL LIABLE	FOR THE BILL.
I EXPLAINED THAT I WAS NOT	going to pay THE BILL,
ESPECIALLY SINKE I NEVER MADE THIS	CALL. MR. GARCIA THEN
TOLD ME THAT HE WOULD PLACE MY NAM	ME WITH A SKEDIT
BUREAU SUCH AS "EQUIFAX." IN Add	TON HE SAID "I HOPE THAT
I AM WORKING WHEN YOU CALL BACK, M	MORE UPSET THAN YOU ARE
NOW TO TELL YOU THAT YOU STILL HAVE	TO PAY THIS BILL."
AS I EXPLAINED TO YOU ON THE	PHONE, I FEEL THAT I
SHOULD NOT BE LIABLE FOR THIS BILL JU.	ST BECAUSE THEY SAID THIS
CALL CAME FROM MY PHONE NUMBER. I	ABSOLUTELY BIB NOT MAKE
THIS CALL, AND THEIR "YOICE CAPTURE"	SHOWS NO CONFIRMATION
OF ANY CAU BEING MADE AT AU.	
THANK YOU FOR YOUR ASSISTA	me in turning. This
MATTER OVER TO YOUR COMMUNICATION	is aivision.

PLEASE KEEP ME INFORMED ON THE PROGRESS OF
THIS CASE, I.E. AMBRICAN TEL NET'S RESPONSE, AND ANY
Additional Action I SHOULD TAKE.
Sincenely,
Scott Palo
SGAT PALO
1310 CARIBBEAN WAY
LANTONA, FL. 33462
(561) 588-8850 - HOME
(800) 233-6911 - work



Customer Name: SCOTT PALO

1

Account: 561-588-8850

Statement Date: July 10, 1996

Page:

Past Due Notice

The following 900 Charges originally appeared on your local telephone bill for information services provided to you. Your failure to pay these past due charges has resulted in our blocking your telephone from accessing our services. Your telephone company has no further authority and will no longer attempt to contact you regarding this debt. Effective immediately, ATN is demanding payment in full for your past due balance. YOUR PAYMENT IS DUE AT ONCE.

For ATN Billing Questions, Call 1-800-501-5101

Detailed Statement of Charges					
Date	Time	Number Called	Minutes	Amount	
10/07/95	05:49pm	407-588-8850	3	11.97	
			Total	11.97	

800-342-3222

1-800-511-0809 FX.

PUTH MEHAREUS

FL. PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

818205110809 F.01 6110 Oak Fern Court Temple Terrace, FL 33617 July 8, 1996

Mr. Kenya Thompkins
Florida Public Service Commission
Tallahassee, FL

Attachment B Page 4 of 5

FAX: 1.800.511.0809

Dear Mr. Thompkins

Attached is a copy of page 7 of my June 1996 GTE Florida telephone bill. This page shows charges from TBS, Inc. Regulated Service, Billing for Crown II. It indicates that a regulated telephone call was placed from my telephone on May 24, to Toronto, ON with total charges of \$98.67 for 20 minutes of weekend calling. I have been advised by all members of my household that they made no such call.

On Wednesday, July 3, 1996, I called the number listed for TBS on this page to discuss this with them. TBS told me that people calling this number were advised during the conversation that if they stayed on the line, charges would be assessed to them. When I advised TBS that the call was not placed from my telephone, the quick, immediate response was that they traced it to my telephone, and that, indeed, the call had been placed from my telephone. This surprised me, because in my dealings with AT&T and GTE Florida, they always had to check to ensure that calls were placed from my telephone. After some fruitless discussion with this person, I asked to talk to a supervisor.

I advised the supervisor that the call was not placed from my telephone. The quick, immediate response from the supervisor was that the call had been traced to my telephone. When I suggested to the supervisor that there were ways for third parties to place calls, she advised me that I had to prove that the call was not placed from my telephone. How do you prove something did not happen? When I asked to speak to her supervisor, she told me she was the senior supervisor and that I could not talk with anyone else. When pressed on this, she told me to have a good day and hung up. Kind of rude, I thought.

I called GTE Florida and discussed this with them. The Customer Service Representative told me she would try to have this removed from my bill and have TBS bill me directly. She suggested I call back prior to paying the bill to see if she was successful.

I then called you. You told me that this is not a regulated service. You also told me that you have received other complaints against TBS. You advised me to FAX a copy of the bill and a letter explaining what happened, copying the Federal Communications Commission, Common Carrier Bureau.

Sincerely,

Timothy M. Badger 813.985.1924

cc: Federal Communications Commission



a

 TELEPHONE NUMBER
 813 985-1924
 Customer ID 71120

 BILL DATE
 June 25, 1996

PAGE 7 OF 8

TBS, Inc. billing questions 1 800 748-4309

LONG DISTANCE CALLS

Billing for TBS, Inc.

TBS, Inc. Regulated Service

Billing for Crown II

Regulated Calls

Direct Diak	ed Calls						
Date	Time	Place call	ed	Number called	Period	Min.	Amount
1 May 24	4:37 am	Toronto	ON	416 754-5618	Wknd	20	\$ 95.80
Total			•				\$ 95.80

For questions concerning your bill, call the number listed at the top of this page. The calls on this page were forwarded by TBS, Inc., the clearinghouse agent for Crown II.

Taxes and Fees on TBS, Inc. Regulated Services	Amount		
Taxes and Fees on TBS, Inc. Regulated Services 2 Federal excise tax (3.00% of \$95.80) Total	\$ 2.87		
Total	\$ 2.87		
TBS, Inc. regulated service charges	\$ 98.6		
Total long distance TBS, Inc.	\$ 98.67		

Letter cittis in wheat

FPSC Consumer Affairs 800 342 3552 Kenya Thompkins FAX 1-800-511-0809

Fed Comm Comm Common Carrier Bureau Mail Stop Cole 1600AZ Wash D.C. 20557

T = 7

15 1212 8139851924 711204 08 08 FL210"HBRDA1

00022232 3F0000135271

COMMENTS OF THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION CC DOCKET NO. 96-146

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of:

Policies and Rules Governing Interstate Pay-Per-Call and Other Information Services Pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996 CC Docket No. 96-146

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Comments of the Florida Public Service Commission has been furnished to the attached list this _____ day of August, 1996.

CYMTHIA B. MILLER Senior Attorney AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. 101 North Monroe Street, Suite 700 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1549 AT&T Communications of the Southern States, Inc. 1200 Peachtree Street, NE Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3523

Integretel, Inc. Atten: Mr. Karl Matthews 5883 Rue Ferrari San Jose, California 95138-1857 MCI Metro Access Transmission Services, Inc. 780 Johnson Ferry Road Suite 700 Atlanta, Georgia 30342

Sprint
Post Office Box 165000
(Mail Code 5326)
Altamonte Springs, Florida 32716-5000

Sprint 3100 Cumberland Circle Atlanta, Georgia 30339

Sprint Gateways 901 East 104th Street Kansas City, Missouri 64131 Sprint Metropolitan Networks, Inc. Post Office Box 162922 (Mail Code 4380) Altamonte Springs, Florida 32751-292

Sprint Payphone Services, Inc. Post Office Box 150809 Altamonte Springs, Florida 32715-0809 Sprint/United Telephone - Florida 315 South Calhoun Street Suite 740 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1843 International Telemedia Associates Inc. 340 Interstate North Parkway Suite 200 Atlanta, Georgia 30339 Todd Stone State Attorney General's Office 110 Tower 110 SE 6th Street Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301

Enhanced Services Billing, Inc. Jeanne Jackson, Vice President 9311 San Pedro, Suite 400 San Antonio, Texas 78216 Peter Jacoby
AT&T Corporation
295 North Maple Avenue
Room 3244J1
Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920

M. Robert Sutherland 1155 Peachtree Street, NE Suite 1700 Atlanta, Georgia 30309-3610 Mary McDermott United States Telephone Assoc. 1401 H Street NW, Suite 600 Washington, DC 20005

Mary J. Sisah MCI Telecommunications Corporation 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20006 Neil Fishman, Asst. Attorney General Office of Attorney General State of Connecticut 110 Sherman Street, McKenzie Hall Hartford, Connecticut 06105-2294